UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,820	09/12/2006	Dirkjan Bernhard Van Dam	NL 040270	1691
	7590 08/28/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		NGUYEN, LAUREN		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/598,820		VAN DAM ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		LAUREN N	GUYEN	2871		
The MAILING DATE of t Period for Reply	his communication ap	opears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FI - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extende Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 37	ROM THE MAILING I ler the provisions of 37 CFR 1 date of this communication. the maximum statutory period d period for reply will, by statu an three months after the maili	DATE OF THI .136(a). In no even d will apply and will tte, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
Responsive to commun     This action is <b>FINAL</b> .     Since this application is closed in accordance with	2b)∐ Th in condition for allowa	is action is no ance except fo	or formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pended 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5)  Claim(s) is/are ald 6)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are reject 7)  Claim(s) is/are old 8)  Claim(s) are subject Application Papers  9)  The specification is object 10)  The drawing(s) filed on _	) is/are withdra lowed. ted. ojected to. ect to restriction and/	awn from cons	quirement.	-vaminer		
Applicant may not request Replacement drawing shed  11) The oath or declaration i	that any objection to the et(s) including the corre	e drawing(s) be ction is required	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	` ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-86)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/15/2008.	wing Review (PTO-948)		1) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 2871

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on 05/12/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- 2. The applicant argues (see page 9) regarding the amended **claim 1** that either Tukude nor McLaughlin provide any teaching or suggestion to maintain the through holes in a state that would allow passage therethrough and Tukude and McLaughlin utilize the through holes for a functional purpose of allowing conductive between elements of the panel. The examiner respectfully disagrees. **McLaughlin et al.** (in at least column 8, lines 24-45, figure 5) teaches the hole (82, 84) being extended through both of the substrates (72, 78); said through holes being opened at each end to allow passage through said through hole. In fact, the applicant appears to admit that **McLaughlin et al.** teaches through holes being opened at each end to allow passage through said through hole by stating the through holes are filled with a conductive element to allow connection between control element, element 66, and conductive elements 76.
- 3. The claim language therefore does not patentably distinguish over the applied reference[s], and the previous rejections are maintained.

## Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 09/15/2008 was filed after the mailing date of the instant application. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Art Unit: 2871

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tukude (US 4,702,566) in view of McLaughlin et al. (US Re. 33,921).
- Regarding **claim 1**, **Tukude** (figure 5) discloses a display panel comprising a first substrate (1) and a second substrate (4) being separated from each other by spacers (4, 14) and sealing between them a space, at least one of the spacers (4; 14) being penetrated by a hole (5) extending therethrough and through the substrate (4) to form a through hole (5) through the display panel (1), said at least one of the spacers (4; 14) and the substrates (1, 4) forming the wall of said through hole and sealing the space from the through hole (5; figure 5). **Tukude** does not disclose the hole being extended through both of the substrates. **McLaughlin et al.** (in at least column 8, lines 24-45, figure 5) teaches the hole (82, 84) being extended through both of the substrates (72, 78); said through holes being opened at each end to allow passage through said through hole. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the substrates as taught by **McLaughlin et al.** because such modification would provide effectively operate the display and enhance its appearance.
- 8. Regarding **claim 2**, **Tukude** (figure 5) discloses each spacer (4, 14) having a through hole (5) is located outside the pixel areas of the display panel (1).

Art Unit: 2871

9. Regarding **claim 3**, **Tukude** (figure 5) discloses a plurality of through holes (5), each extending through a respective one of the spacers (4, 14) and through the substrate (4) to form a through hole through the display panel (1), are distributed over the surface (218) of the display panel. **Tukude** does not disclose the hole being extended through both of the substrates. **McLaughlin et al.** (in at least column 8, lines 24-45, figure 5) teaches the hole (82, 84) being extended through both of the substrates (72, 78). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the substrates as taught by **McLaughlin et al.** because such modification would effectively operate the display and enhance its appearance.

- 10. Regarding **claim 5**, **McLaughlin et al.** (figure 5) discloses the display panel is an LCD-display, a foil display, an electro-wetting display, a polyled display, a fluorescent display, or a touch screen or pressure-sensitive display (see at least column 2, lines 58-65).
- 11. Regarding **claim 7**, **Tukude** (figure 5) discloses the display panel (1) has a plastic or steel substrate (see at least column 2, lines 32-35).
- 12. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tukude in view of McLaughlin et al., further in view of Washizawa et al. (US 2004/0114090).
- 13. Regarding claim 4, Tukude as modified by McLaughlin et al. discloses the limitations as shown in the rejection of claim 1 above. However, Tukude as modified by McLaughlin et al. does not disclose the spacers are made of a visually decorative material. Washizawa et al. (in at least paragraph 0054) teaches the spacers are made of a visually decorative material. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify

Art Unit: 2871

the spacers as taught by **Washizawa et al.** because such modification would prevent the deterioration in display contrast during black display.

- 14. Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Tukude** in view of **McLaughlin et al.**, further in view of **Chu (US 6,279,170)**.
- 15. Regarding claim 6, Tukude as modified by McLaughlin et al. discloses the limitations as shown in the rejection of claim 1 above. However, Tukude as modified by McLaughlin et al. does not disclose the display panel is flexible or bendable and/or has flexible substrates. Chu (in at least column 1, lines 30-40; figure 1) teaches the display panel is flexible or bendable and/or has flexible substrates. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the display panel as taught by Chu because such modification would reduce the mechanical stress on the device. In addition, the panel would be easily attached to wearable products.
- 16. Regarding **claim 8**, **Tukude as modified by McLaughlin et al.** discloses the limitations as shown in the rejection of **claim 1** above. However, **Tukude as modified by McLaughlin et al.** does not disclose the display panel is adapted to be integrated in a wearable product. **Chu** (in at least column 1, lines 30-40; figure 1) teaches the display panel is adapted to be integrated in a wearable product. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the display panel as taught by **Chu** because such modification would achieve labels for garments that can display animated designs and information changing over time.

Art Unit: 2871

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lauren Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 270-1428. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:30-6:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

Art Unit: 2871

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/L. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 2871

/David Nelms/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2871